Oct. 9, 1991

Robert L. Morgan State Engineer 1636 West North Temple, Suite 220 Salt Lake City, Utah 84116-3156 RECEIVED

OCT 1 1 1991

WATER RIGHTS

Kenneth E. Hanks 205 Washakie Dr. Evanston Wy. 82930

Dear Sir:

Enclosed is a copy of a response from Mr. Lee H. Sim, and my original request for a priority list concerning Fallon and Burnt Fork. Mr. Sim appears to be very reluctant to forward this information to me and I'm not sure why. John Yarbrough, of the Wyoming State Engineers Office, requested the same information and was told by Mr. Sim that the "information is not generally made public". I have a real problem with this and feel as a taxpayer of Utah and as water user from both drainages, we are entitled to this information. Both Bob Leake and Lee Sim indicated you have manpower problems. The request on Fallon Creek consist of one ditch. Burnt Fork has about 10-12 diversion points. I have a hard time believing that your staff is so overworked that they cannot provide this list.

Even more important, if this list is not available and already developed, what has the local river commissioner been using for the last several years. We think this is public information and all should be appraised of what the water rights are. One of the problems is in deciphering the listing in the book. Several people in the past have duplicated the claim numbers and by doing so have double the appropriation. We feel it is important for your office to develop an official priority listing for all to use and have the creditability to be used by the river commissioner.

We also have some concerns on West Beaver Creek. In Wyoming the water is owned by the state and the state has the responsibility of both insuring water stays in the channel and administering the water through approved devices, such as headgates and partial flumes. After reviewing the area South of the Buckley Road, several diversions existed without any measuring devices or flumes. What do we need to do to get this corrected and who has this responsibility? Also Fallon Creek, which is a tributary to West Beaver, tributary to Beaver Creek, has a large ditch extending from the main creek. This has no headgate or flume and we are not sure what the allocation is. I asked for this information but was denied and the excuse was manpower.

In general we feel this area has been neglected in any effort to be regulated. We would like to extend our support and cooperation in an attempt to get the problems resolved prior to the next irrigation season. Would you help us and assign someone to work with us.

Concerning West Beaver and the Allocation, Bob indicated the figures provided were correct and the points of diversion are as follows.

PRIORITY	W.R.# POINT OF DIVERSION 1231	TOTAL FLOW AT THIS DIV. PT.
	1233	
	1396	
	1521	
	1522	
	1523	
1882	1952 N 905' E 830' from \$1/4 Cr. Sec 18,T3N,R16E	2.1 cfs
1882	1953	
,	1955	
	1958	
1885	1533	
1895	1394	
	1394	
	2627 S50' W 800' from NE Cor Sec 25, T3N R15E	1.4
1899	1951	
	1528 N1550' W135' from SE Cor. Sec 34 T3N R15E	7.5
1899	1528	
2022	1528	
	1528	
	1528	
	1202	
	1527	_ ,
1900	1534 s 960' W1200' From NE Cor. Sec 25, T3N R15E	2
1900	1534	
	2243	
	2244	
	2246	
	2246	
	2726	
	2727	
	2728 Omitted, USA Forest Service	
1902	1428 \$960' W1200' From NW Cor. Sec 25, T3N R15E	3
1902	1428	
	1428	
1905	1413	
1906	1423	0 10
1911	1427 N1550' W1655' From SE Cor. Sec 34 T3N R15E	8.18

1911	1535 1325	N1550' W135'	from SE Cor s	Sec34, T3N	8.2	
1911	1325 1325 1325 1325 1430 1430 1430 1536					
1913 1967	1329 1329 1433 3129					NOT RIGHT.
1501	9129					

It appears that the total Utah allocation is 32.38 cfs at four diversion points. I talked to Bob Leake on Sep. 23 and he confirmed that the figures I was working with are true and correct.— With this in mind and confirmation that Fallon Creek is a tributary to West Beaver and West Beaver is a tributary to the main stem, we would like to have this drainage regulated accordingly. In the past, users on West Beaver have been accustomed to using much more that their allocation.

We would also request some help on Middle Beaver. It appears that Mr. Jim Hickey has discovered grounds being irrigated that may not have a right. Also concerning East Beaver, some of the diversions should be coming off to the West and but the actual ditch is to the East.

Again we would like some cooperation from the various field people to help get the above mentioned problems resolved.

Yours,

Kenneth E. Hanks

Total cfs $\overline{32.38}$

Earl Hanks